

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AUSTIN DIVISION

WYOMING TECHNOLOGY LICENSING,
LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

1:24-CV-347-RP

ORDER

On June 10, 2024, Defendant Apple, Inc. (“Defendant”) filed a partial motion to dismiss. (Dkt. 7). Plaintiff Wyoming Technology Licensing, LLC’s (“Plaintiff”) response to the motion to dismiss is past due. *See* W.D. Tex. Loc. R. CV-7(d). Although the Local Rules empower the Court to grant motions as unopposed when no response is timely filed, *id.*, this Court will not grant Defendant’s motion to dismiss as unopposed at this time. **IT IS ORDERED** that Plaintiff (1) shall show cause in writing on or before **June 28, 2024**, as to why the Court should not grant Defendant’s motion to dismiss as unopposed or, alternatively, (2) Plaintiff shall file a response to Defendant’s motion to dismiss on or before **June 28, 2024**. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action with prejudice. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) (action may be dismissed for want of prosecution or failure to comply with court order); *Larson v. Scott*, 157 F.3d 1030, 1031 (5th Cir. 1998) (district court has authority to dismiss case for want of prosecution or failure to comply with court order).

SIGNED on June 26, 2024.



ROBERT PITMAN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE